

UNITED STATES SPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trac. Ark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.
08/678,776	07/11/96	ABRAMS	J	12004
			EXAMINER	
		22M2/1014	TENUCT:	
DAVID A KALOW			ART U	
KALOW SPRINGUT & BRESSLER				
380 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 43RD FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10168			2204	5
NEW TORK OF SOCIETY			DATE MAIL	ED: 10/14/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

0/0/07					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/8/97					
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days, within the period for response will cause obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR				
Disposition of Claims					
(Claim(s)/- 52	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	Is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) /-52	is/are objected to.				
Claim(s)					
Li Claim(s)					
Application Papers					
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.					
The drawing(s) mod on	bjected to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some ^a ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been					
received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).				
Attachment(e)	(D21				
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	DANIEL J. JENKINS				
TO Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) PATENT EXAMINER					
GROUP 2200					
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Serial Number: 08/678,776 Page 2

Art Unit:

ه من الله مسيور

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 17, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mravic et al.

Mravic et al. discloses a method for producing a lead free bullet comprising the steps of:

pressing copper powder (col. 2, lines 25-26; col. 4, lines 18-20); and

sintering the pressed powder (col. 4, lines 20-21).

The pressing of powder into near net shape would inherently require the use of a die.

Mravic et al. further discloses adding WC to the copper powder (col. 2, line 22).

Mravic further discloses the use of said lead free bullet as ammunition (col. 1, lines 14-25).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial Number: 08/678,776

Page 3

Art Unit:

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 9-12, 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mravic et al.

Mravic et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 2 above). However, Mravic et al. does not disclose the use of a solid lubricant additive, but states only the use of a lubricant additive.

It is common knowledge in the prior art to use graphite or MoS₂ as a solid lubricant additive in order to ease die release. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add graphite or MoS₂ to the copper powder of Mravic et al. in order to ease the release of the compacted powder from the die. Additionally, it is common knowledge to add an amount of graphite or MoS₂ appropriate for the materials compacted so as to minimize the effect upon the sintered article by the presence of the additive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add an amount of graphite or MoS₂

Art Unit:

No The .

from 0.005 to 1% by weight to the copper powder of Mravic et al. in order not to impact the density of the sintered bullet.

It is further common knowledge in the art to repress a sintered compact for the purpose on increasing density. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the time of the invention to repress the sintered bullet of Mravic et al. in order to improve density.

Changes in the method parameters of pressing and sintering pressure, temperature, time atmosphere do not impart patentability unless the recited ranges are critical. Since the determination of these conditions in this case have been to determine the optimum conditions of operation, such determination does not impart patentability and are thus found to be obvious. See In re Aller et al. (CCPA 1955) 220 F2d 454, 105 USPQ 233.

5. Claims 3-8, 13-16, 17-20 and 35-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mravic et al. in view of the ASM Handbook (pp 710-716, 802-813).

Mravic et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 3 above). However, Mravic et al. does not disclose the use of an oxide, boride, carbide or nitride additive.

The ASM Handbook teaches to use a dispersion-strengthened copper with alumina in the same field of endeavor for the purpose on increasing strength in the copper formed article (p 711).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Mravic et al. by dispersion-strengthening the copper powder

Serial Number: 08/678,776 Page 5

Art Unit:

with alumina as taught by ASM Handbook in order to increase the strength of the bullet of Mravic et al.

The ASM Handbook further teaches dispersion strengthening by inclusion of oxides, carbides and nitrides (p. 710). Although the ASM Handbook does not specifically address borides in the dispersion discussion, the ASM Handbook discloses borides along with the discussion of oxides, carbides and nitrides as ceramics which can be added to metals for increased strength, thus suggesting borides as a ceramic equivalent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any one of alumina, tungsten carbide, titanium boride or silicon nitride as a dispersion phase in the invention of Mravic et al. in order to strengthen the formed copper article.

Furthermore, determination of optimum concentration do not impart patentability unless the recited ranges are critical. Since the determination of this conditions has been to determine the optimum conditions of operation, such determination does not impart patentability and are thus found to be obvious. See <u>In re Aller et al.</u> (CCPA 1955) 220 F2d 454, 105 USPQ 233.

6. Claims 21-24 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mravic et al. in view of the ASM Handbook (pp 710-716, 802-813, 121-122).

Mravic et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 3 above). Mravic et al. further discloses that the matrix metal can comprise copper, zinc and tin. However, Mravic et al. does not disclose prealloying the components or the particular ranges of each component.

Serial Number: 08/678,776 Page 6

Art Unit:

prie chi

The ASM Handbook teaches at pages 121-122 that copper mixtures containing tin and zinc can be prealloyed before use in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of ensuring continuity of the mixture.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use prealloyed copper/tin/zinc powder as taught by ASM Handbook in the invention of Mravic et al. for the purpose of ensuring a homogeneous mixture.

Furthermore, determination of optimum concentration do not impart patentability unless the recited ranges are critical. Since the determination of this conditions has been to determine the optimum conditions of operation, such determination does not impart patentability and are thus found to be obvious. See <u>In re Aller et al.</u> (CCPA 1955) 220 F2d 454, 105 USPQ 233.

7. The use of several trademarks have been noted in this application:

at page 10, the powder family of RAM, R.H., FOR-WC and Acrawax.

The Examiner points to the use found at page 13, line 10 for AL-25 for a proper generic terminology format.

It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

In this case, Applicant should insert a generic description to the Specification of the trademarks within the Specification.

Serial Number: 08/678,776

Art Unit:

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature

Page 7

of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which

might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure as examples of general prior art.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Daniel Jenkins at telephone number (703) 306-4157.

dj

October 8, 1997

GHOUP 2200